K-14. -21-32

Jews and the American Public Square

Debating Religion and Republic

EDITED BY ALAN MITTLEMAN, ROBERT LICHT, AND JONATHAN D. SARNA

Contents

Introduction – Alan Mittleman		1
I.	Historical Dimensions	
1	An Overview of American Jewish Defense Naomi W. Cohen	13
2	Church-State Dilemmas of American Jews Jonathan D. Sarna	47
II.	Constitutional Dimensions	
3	Believers and the Founders' Constitution Ralph Lerner	71
4	The Rule of Law and the Establishment Clause Martin J. Plax	87
5	Religion and Liberal Democracy Marc D. Stern	133
III.	Political Dimensions	
6	Jewish Activism in the Washington "Square": An Analysis and Prognosis Marshall J. Breger	153
7	Uncertain Steps: American Jews in the New Public Square Harvey Sicherman	187
8	The Jewish Debate over State Aid to Religious Schools Jack Wertheimer	217

IV.	Sociological Dimensions	
9	Jewish Involvement in the American Public Square: The Organizational Disconnect Sherry Israel	241
10	Public Jews and Private Acts: Family and Personal Choices in the Public Square and in the Private Realm Sylvia Barack Fishman	265
V.	Philosophical Dimensions	
11	Jewish Critics of Strict Separationism David G. Dalin	291
12	Under His Own Vine and Fig Tree: The Contemporary Jewish Approach to Religion in American Public Life and Its Problems Hillel Fradkin	311
13	Toward a Jewish Public Philosophy in America David Novak	331
Index		357
Aboı	at the Contributors	. 373

Introduction

Alan Mittleman

Concern for the proper ordering of religion and public life, including both the governmental and nongovernmental dimensions of the public sphere, goes back to the founding of the American republic. The distinctively American approach to these matters is encoded in the First Amendment. What the code meant in the eighteenth century, let alone what it ought to mean today, is endlessly controversial. At the time of the ratification of the U.S. Constitution, six states had established churches and eleven out of thirteen had religious qualifications for office-holding.1 Far from being dismantled by the ratification of the First Amendment (which prohibited a federal establishment of religion), Massachusetts and Connecticut preserved their religious establishments into the nineteenth century. Their desire to do so probably influenced the amendment's compromising language. Prohibiting Congress from making any law "respecting an establishment of religion" does, after all, cut both ways. It prohibits Congress from establishing a would-be national religion and it prohibits Congress from infringing on the state establishments. Far from building a "wall of separation" between church and state (Jefferson's 1802 gloss on the amendment), the founders' constitution built a complex federal system where linkages between religion and government at various levels were acceptable. Nonetheless, these linkages were controversial even at the beginning. Founders like Thomas Jefferson and James Madison advocated a quite different approach to the problem of ordering religion and government from founders such as George Washington or Patrick Henry.²